



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/777,418 | 02/11/2004 | Robert M. Armitano | 67272-8128.US03 | 4322 |
| 77042 | 7590 | 01/13/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| Perkins Coie LLP | | | CHBOUKI, TAREK | |
| P.O. Box 1208 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Seattle, WA 98111-1208 | | | 2165 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/777,418 | ARMITANO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | TAREK CHBOUKI | 2165 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TAREK CHBOUKI. (3) Jordan M Becker (Attorney of Record).
 (2) NEVEEN ABEL-JALIL (Primary Examiner). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 47 and 48.

Identification of prior art discussed: Soltis and Cox.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner with take in consideration Applicant's arguments and amendeds as well as all the points made during the interview with regards to the policy server accessing and returning data to the client.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| /Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165 | /T.C./ Examiner AU 2165 |
|--|-------------------------|